

FAUNA AQUATICA AUSTRIACA

PORIFERA: SPONGILLIDAE (Freshwater Sponges)

Iris Dröschner, Johann Waringer, Johanna Mildner &
Paul Mildner

Dr. Iris Dröschner
Abteilung Soziobiologie/Anthropologie
Kellnerweg 6
D-37077 Göttingen
iris.droeschner@gmail.com

Ao. Univ. Prof. Dr. Johann Waringer
Universität Wien
Department für Limnologie und Bio-Ozeanographie
Althanstraße 14
A-1090 Wien
johann.waringer@univie.ac.at

Mag. Johanna Mildner
Kärntner Institut für Seenforschung
Kirchengasse 43
A-9020 Klagenfurt am Wörthersee
johanna.mildner@ktn.gv.at

Dr. Paul Mildner (†)

Quotation note

Dröschner, I., Waringer, J., Mildner J. & P. Mildner (2017):
Spongillidae. In Moog, O. & A. Hartmann (Eds.): Fauna Aquatica
Austriaca, 3. Edition 2017. BMLFUW, Wien.



Freshwater sponges (Spongillidae) belong to the phylum of Porifera and the division of Parazoa. According to Pronzato & Manconi (1994a), their high plasticity, the somatic origin of sperms and eggs and the lack of specialised tissue types are typical for Parazoa. Based on molecular studies, Porifera and the phyla of Metazoa are monophyletic (Müller, 2003). Porifera are divided into three morphological groups: Calcarea, Hexactinellida and Demospongiae. Freshwater sponges, along with 95% of all sponge taxa, belong to the latter group where silicious spicules are present, or spicula are totally lacking. Besides Spongillidae, there are two other families with species inhabiting freshwaters: Potamolepidae und Lubomirskiidae (Gruner, 1993). The former group consists of few endemic species inhabiting the large streams and lakes of Central Africa whereas Lubomirskiidae are restricted to lake Baikal, lake Ohrid and the Beringean sea. Mono- or polyphyly of the three freshwater sponge groups is still discussed (Pronzato & Manconi, 1994b).

The extant number of Porifera species is well above 6000 (Berquist, 1978), with less than 300 species known from freshwaters (Frost, 1991). The European Spongillidae inventory is up to 18 species (Simon, 1978; Fauna Europaea, 2017). In addition to the six Austrian species (Mildner, 1999; Dröscher & Waringer, 2007), there are two further species known from Germany (*Heteromeyenia baileyi* Bowerbank 1863 and *Eunapius carteri* Bowerbank 1863; Eggers & Eiseler, 2007), one species from the British Isles, Norway and the Faroer isles (*Racekiela ryderi* Potts 1882), from Bulgaria (*Radiospongilla cerebellata* Bowerbank 1863), from Sardinia and Corsica (*Sanidastra yokotonensis* Volkmer-Ribeiro & Watanabe 1983), from Northern Russia (*Spongilla arctica* Annandale 1915), from Macedonia and Poland (*Spongilla stankovici* Arndt 1938), from Croatia (*Eunapius subterraneus* Sket & Velikonja 1984), and 4 species from Macedonia, including 3 endemic species of family Malawispongiidae (*Spongilla prespensis* Hadzische 1953, *Ochridaspongilla* spp. *Ochridospongilla* sp.; Fauna Europaea, 2017).

Freshwater sponges are variable in shape, consisting of masses of specialised cells. They filter large volumes of water through the porous network of their body, capturing suspended particles for food. Sponges are often referred to as ‘colonies’ (Jaffé, 1912; Kilian, 1964). However, as their body cannot be divided into exact numbers of morphologically distinct entities, for practical purposes single patches should be considered as individuals (Gruner, 1993). The matrix of freshwater sponges is supported by siliceous structures (spicules) which are important for identification and, according to their size, are divided into macroscleres and microscleres. In addition to body spicules, microscleres are also present in the outer layer of the spherical hibernating structures called gemmulae, which develop towards the end of the vegetation period in late summer and autumn. For preparation, the siliceous spicules of sponges and gemmulae are isolated using 10% sodium dichloroisocyanurate, transferred to slides, embedded and examined under a microscope (Dröscher & Waringer, 2007).

Despite the holarctic distribution of many species, Spongillidae generally is a neglected group in routine sampling and often overlooked due to their crust-like colonies (Eggers & Eiseler, 2007). In addition, the preparation of spicules and the collection of gemmulae, which typically do not develop before late summer but are necessary for identification to species, is time consuming (Hooper, 2000). On the other hand, sponges may be quite abundant: up to 58 individuals per site were collected within 30 minutes in Danubian floodplain waterbodies in Austria, covering up to 151 cm² per specimen. However, the presence of hard substratum was essential for the growth of sponges: timber stands near the water and drifting dead wood, but also stony substrata, significantly increased sponge abundance, whereas smaller fractions were collected from macrophytes such as *Phragmites* (Dröscher & Waringer, 2007). They are also valuable bioindicators: environmental stress such as water pollution or heavy metal contamination causes spicule anomalies. The three most abundant anomalies are forks (bifurcated, x- or cross-shaped), centrotylotes (one or more globular swellings approximately double the width of the intact spicule) and hooks (sharply bent at obtuse, right or even acute angles) with spicule anomalies

being used to assess water pollution (e.g. Konopacka, 1983/1984; Richelle-Maurer et al., 1994b; Richelle et al., 1995; Mysing-Gubula & Poirrier, 1981). Besides their important ecological role as filtering collectors, sponges quickly respond to environmental changes and are quite mobile: *Trochospongilla horrida* (Weltner 1893) was only seldom collected in the Rhine River before 1980, but has become very abundant since 1992 (Gugel, 2000). Although sponges have disappeared from many waterbodies due to pollution, destruction of macrophyte stands and ever-increasing loads of suspended solids, they may be favoured by increases in temperature caused for instance by cooling water outlets and by the creation of artificial hard substrata such as locks, sheet pilings and riprap.

References

- Bartsch, A. (1957): Das Bestimmen einheimischer Süßwasserschwämme. *Mikrokosmos* 47: 195-202.
- Berquist, P.R. (1978): Sponges. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Dröschner, I. & J. Waringer (2007): Abundance and microhabitats of freshwater sponges (Spongillidae) in a Danube floodplain in Austria. *Freshwater Biology* 52: 998-1008.
- Eggers, T.O. & B. Eiseler (2007): Bestimmungsschlüssel der Süßwasser-Spongillidae (Porifera) Mittel- und Nordeuropas. *Lauterbornia* 60, 1-53.
- Fauna Europaea Web Service (2017): Fauna Europaea version 1.1, Available online at <http://www.fauna-eu.org>.
- Frost, T. M. (1991): Porifera. In Thorp, J.H. & Covich, A.P. (eds): *Ecology and Classification of North American Freshwater Invertebrates*. Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, California: 95 -124.
- Gruner, H.E. (1993): Wirbellose Tiere. 1. Teil: Einführung: Protozoa, Placozoa, Porifera. Gustav Fischer Verlag.
- Gugel, J. (1999): High abundance of *Trochospongilla horrida* (Porifera, Spongillidae) in the Rhine (Germany) 1992-1995. *Hydrobiologia* 421: 199-207.
- Hooper, J.N.A. (2000): Sponguide. Guide to sponge collection and identification. (<http://www.qmuseum.qld.gov.au/organisation/sections/SessileMarineInvertebrates/index.asp>) Queensland Museum, Australia 129 pp.
- Jaffé, G. (1912): Bemerkungen über die Gemmulae von *Spongilla lacustris* L. und *Ephydatia fluviatilis* L. *Zoologischer Anzeiger* 39: 657-667.
- Kilian, E.F. (1964): Zur Biologie der einheimischen Spongilliden. *Ergebnisse und Probleme. Zool. Beitr.* 10: 85-159.
- Konopacka, A. (1983/1984): Morphological analysis of skeleton elements in freshwater sponges. *Acta Hydrobiol.* 25-26: 157-164.
- Mildner, P. (1999): Rote Liste der Schwämme Kärntens (Porifera: Spongillidae). *Naturschutz in Kärnten* 15: 663-664.
- Müller, W.E.G (Ed.) (2003): Sponges (Porifera). SpringerVerlag, Berlin.
- Mysing-Gubala, M. & M.A. Poirrier (1981): The effects of Cadmium and Mercury on gemmule formation and gemmosclere morphology in *Ephydatia fluviatilis* (Porifera: Spongillidae) *Hydrobiologia* 76: 145-148.
- Pronzato, R. & R. Manconi (1987): Chiave dicotomica per il riconoscimento delle spugne d'acqua dolce Italiane *Boll. Mus. Ist. Biol. Genova* 53: 81-99.
- Pronzato, R. & R. Manconi (1994a): Life history of *Ephydatia fluviatilis*: A model for adaptive strategies in discontinuous habitats. In Soest, R.W.M. van, Kempen, T.M.G. van & Braekman, J.C: *Sponges in time and space* 327-331.
- Pronzato, R. & R. Manconi (1994b): Adaptive strategies of sponges in inland waters. *Bollettino di Zoologia* 61: 395-401.

- Richelle-Maurer, E. Degoudenne, Y., Van de Vyver, G. & L. Dejonghe (1994b): Some aspects of heavy metal tolerance in freshwater sponges. In Soest, R.W.M. van, Kempen, T.M.G. van & Braekman, J.C.: Sponges in time and space 351-354.
- Richelle, E., Degoudenne, Y., Dejonghe, L. & G. Van De Vyver (1995): Experimental and field studies on the effect of selected heavy metals on three freshwater sponge species: *Ephydatia fluviatilis*, *Ephydatia mülleri* and *Spongilla lacustris*. Arch. Hydrobiol. 135: 209-231.
- Simon, L.K. (1978): Spongillidae. Limnofauna Europea, 2nd edn. (Ed. J. Illies), pp. 1-2. Fischer, Stuttgart.

Species inventory

Family Spongillidae

Genus Ephydatia LAMOUROUX, 1816*Ephydatia fluviatilis* (LINNAEUS, 1759)*Ephydatia mülleri* (LIEBERKÜHN, 1856)**Genus Eunapius** GRAY, 1867*Eunapius fragilis* (LEIDY, 1851)**Genus Heteromeyenina** POTTS, 1881*Heteromeyenina stepanowii* (DYBOWSKY, 1884) single find**Genus Spongilla** LAMARCK, 1816*Spongilla lacustris* (LINNAEUS, 1759)**Genus Trochospongilla** VEJDOVSKY, 1883*Trochospongilla horrida* WELTNER, 1893

	Saprobic valencies					W	SI
	x	o	ß	a	p		
Ephydatia							
<i>Ephydatia fluviatilis</i>	-	-	7	2	1	3	2,4
<i>Ephydatia mülleri</i>	-	1	7	2	-	3	2,1
Eunapius							
<i>Eunapius fragilis</i>	-	-	10	-	-	5	2,0
Heteromeyenia							
<i>Heteromeyenia stepanowii</i>	-	-	10	-	-	5	2,0
Spongilla							
<i>Spongilla lacustris</i>	-	2	7	1	-	3	1,9
Trochospongilla							
<i>Trochospongilla horrida</i>	-	-	10	-	-	5	2,0

Longitudinal distribution										
	EUC	HYC	ER	MR	HR	EP	MP	HP	LIT	PRO
Ephydatia										
<i>Ephydatia fluviatilis</i>	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	6	-
<i>Ephydatia mülleri</i>	-	-	-	-	1	2	2	-	4	1
Eunapius										
<i>Eunapius fragilis</i>	-	-	-	-	-	2	3	-	5	-
Heteromeyenia										
<i>Heteromeyenia stepanowii</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-
Spongilla										
<i>Spongilla lacustris</i>	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	5	1
Trochospongilla										
<i>Trochospongilla horrida</i>	-	-	-	-	1	2	3	1	3	-

	Functional feeding guilds									
	SHR	GRA	AFIL	PFIL	DET	MIN	XYL	PRE	PAR	OTH
Ephydatia										
<i>Ephydatia fluviatilis</i>	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Ephydatia mülleri</i>	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eunapius										
<i>Eunapius fragilis</i>	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Heteromeyenia										
<i>Heteromeyenia stepanowii</i>	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Spongilla										
<i>Spongilla lacustris</i>	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trochospongilla										
<i>Trochospongilla horrida</i>	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-